

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/196,161	SIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	N. M. Minnifield	1645	

All Participants:

Status of Application: 041

(1) N. M. Minnifield.

(3) _____.

(2) Christina Frye (for Benjamin Hauptman, 29310).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner inquired if an amendment/response to the action mailed 5/8/06 had been filed. Applicants' representative indicated that no amendment/response had been filed. This application is now abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 NITA MINNIFIELD
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)